1	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	HOUSTON DIVISION
4	IN RE: \$ CASE NO. 23-90147-7 \$ JOINTLY ADMINISTERED
5	MOUNTAIN EXPRESS OIL COMPANY, \$ HOUSTON, TEXAS ET AL, \$ THURSDAY,
6	\$ AUGUST 24, 2023 DEBTORS. \$ 4:15 P.M. TO 05:37 P.M.
7	STATUS CONFERENCE (VIA ZOOM)
8	
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID R. JONES UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
10	
11	
12	APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE
13	
14	(Recorded via CourtSpeak)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE BY:
21	JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
22	935 Eldridge Road, #144 Sugar Land, TX 77478
23	281-277-5325 www.judicialtranscribers.com
24	
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.
	1

## 1 APPEARANCES (VIA ZOOM): 2 3 FOR THE TRUSTEE: PORTER HEDGES LLP Joshua W. Wolfshohl, Esq. 4 Megan N. Young-John, Esq. 1000 Main, 36th Floor 5 Houston, TX 77002 713-226-6000 6 7 THE CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE: HUGHES WATTERS AND ASKANASE Janet Casciato-Northrup, Esq. 1201 Louisiana, 28th Floor 8 Houston, TX 77002 713-759-0818 9 10 FOR THE DIP AGENT: GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP John D. Elrod, Esq. Terminus 200 11 3333 Piedmont Road NE, Suite 2500 12 Atlanta, GA 30305 13 678-553-2259 FOR CHEVRON: PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW 14 PITTMAN, LLP 15 Hugh M. Ray, III, Esq. 909 Fannin, Ste. 2000 Houston, TX 77010-1028 16 17 FOR SASS PETROLEUM, LLC: FOLEY & LARDNER, LLP John P. Melko, Esq. 18 1000 Louisiana, Ste. 2000 Houston, TX 77002 713-276-5727 19 20 FOR THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS: MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY, LLP 21 Charles R. Gibbs, Esq. 2501 North Harwood St. 22 Suite 1900 Dallas, TX 75201 23 214-295-8063 24

25

## APPEARANCES (CONT'D - VIA ZOOM): 1 2 3 FOR SUNOCO, LLC: KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Michaela Crocker, Esq. 4 2121 North Pearl Street Suite 1100 5 Dallas, TX 75201 469-627-7070 6 7 FOR GSS HOLDINGS LA, LLC: KEAN MILLER, LLP Lloyd A. Lim, Esq. 711 Louisiana Street 8 Suite 1800 9 Houston, TX 77002 713-844-3070 10 11 FOR PHILLIPS 66 AND BFM ENTERPRISES, LLC: LOCKE LORD, LLP Omer F. Kuebel, III, Esq. 12 601 Poydras Street Suite 2660 13 New Orleans, LA 70130-6036 14 FOR FORTUNA INVESTMENTS: 15 PARKINS & RUBIO, LLP Lenard M. Parkins, Esq. 700 Milam Street 16 Suite 1300 17 Houston, TX 77002 713-542-7225 18 FOR BLUE OWL: 19 KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP Ashley L. Surinak, Esq. 300 North LaSalle 20 Chicago, IL 60654 312-862-2000 21 22 FOR PILOT, FLYING J AND HUNT REFINING COMPANY: SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP 23 Duston McFaul, Esq. 1000 Louisiana St. Suite 5900 24 Houston, TX 77002 25 713-495-4618

## APPEARANCES (CONT'D - VIA ZOOM): 1 2 3 FOR VALERO MARKETING & SUPPLY COMPANY: DYKEMA GOSSETT, PLLC 4 Deborah D. Williamson, Esq. 112 East Pecan Street 5 Suite 1800 San Antonio, TX 78205 210-554-5500 6 7 FOR BROTHERS: LOCKE LORD BISSELL & LIDDELL, LLP8 Philip Eisenberg, Esq. 600 Travis, Ste. 2800 9 Houston, TX 77002 713-226-1200 10 11 FOR BFM ENTERPRISES, LLC: LOCKE LORD, LLP Simon R. Mayer, Esq. 12 600 Travis, Ste. 2800 Houston, TX 77002 713-226-1200 13 14 FOR CF GAS STORE, LLC: NORMAN A. ABOOD, ATTORNEY AT LAW 15 Norman A. Abood, Esq. 136 North Huron Street Suite 101 16 Toledo, OH 43604 17 419-724-3700 18 FOR NINE SHELL GAS STATIONS OK, LLC: DORSEY & WHITNEY, LLP 19 Rachel Stoian, Esq. 300 Crescent Court 20 Suite 400 Dallas, TX 75201 21 214-981-9959 22 FOR EQUILON: Paul Turner MR. POMERANTZ 23 Also present: MR. PLATT 24 25 (Please also see Electronic Appearances.)

## HOUSTON, TEXAS; THURSDAY, AUGUST 24, 2023; 4:15 P.M.

(Recording begins abruptly.)

THE COURT: -- Central. Today is August the 24th, 2023. This is the Docket for Houston, Texas. On the 4:15 Docket, we have the jointly administered cases under Case No. 23-90147, Mountain Express Oil Company.

Folks, please don't forget to record your electronic appearance. And, again, even if you made it this morning, I ask that you do it again this afternoon. We will have created a different list. It is the way we note your official appearance.

We do have folks both here in the courtroom as well as on GoToMeeting. For those folks in the courtroom, if you do rise to speak, if you would, please make sure you come to the lectern so that everyone can both hear you and see you. If you are on GoToMeeting, I have activated the hand-raising feature.

If you know you're going to be speaking, if you'd go ahead and give me a five star, so I can get you unmuted.

You can, of course, change your mind at any time.

Whether you are in the courtroom or on GoToMeeting, the first time that you speak, if you would, please state your name and who you represent. It really is a good point of reference for the court reporters in the event that a transcript request is made.

Finally, we are recording this afternoon using 1 2 CourtSpeak. We'll have that recording up on the Docket 3 shortly after the conclusion of the hearing. 4 I think, like, 92 people hit five star. I will 5 get to all of you in just a moment. It just doesn't work 6 that fast. 7 (Pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: All right, Mr. Wolfshohl, why don't 8 9 you go ahead and tell me where we are while I'm still 10 clicking through these. 11 MR. WOLFSHOHL: Thank you, Your Honor, Joshua 12 Wolfshohl and Megan Young-John for the Trustee. And Ms. Northrup is in the courtroom as well, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon to everybody. MS. YOUNG-JOHN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 15 MR. WOLFSHOHL: Your Honor, we did circulate a 16 draft order to a lot of people. 17 18 THE COURT: Sure. 19 MR. WOLFSHOHL: I -- we actually collectively got 20 our notes together to try to make sure that we were 21 including everybody that had asked for notice and people 22 that had emails -- emailed us for notice. I hope we got 23 everybody that we talked about at the last hearing. 24 We sent an order around. We got a lot of 25 comments back. A lot of the comments were focused on the

```
concept of what happens with the termination and the
1
 2
   rejection. And so we attempted to accommodate those with
 3
   language that tried to synthesize what we thought that --
 4
    the main comments we were getting, but we, in no way,
 5
    incorporated everybody's exact comments. It just wasn't
 6
   possible in the time that we had.
7
               THE COURT: I got that. And they are going to be
   other -- this is not -- this is not in place of the ability
8
 9
    to seek relief based upon your particular circumstances.
10
   It's just to try and deal with as many general issues as we
11
    could. So I appreciate the effort.
12
               I haven't -- I just now see that 1396 exists.
13
   I'm going to hear from everybody, and I am going to take a
14
    couple of minutes and read it.
15
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: No problem, Your Honor.
                                                        We also
    sent a Word version to Mr. Alonzo just so you know, Your
16
   Honor.
17
18
               THE COURT: Got it. I just -- I haven't been
19
   down --
20
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Understood.
21
               THE COURT: -- so got it. Okay.
22
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: And not everybody -- I mean, I'm
23
   not sure everybody has really even seen how we attempted to
24
    incorporate their comments just because of the time
```

constraints, and we needed to get over here. So --

25

```
THE COURT: Sure. They can read it while I'm
 1
    reading it --
 2
 3
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Okay.
 4
               THE COURT: -- if they haven't already done so.
 5
    All right. So you think you've got an order that's
 6
    incorporated as many comments as you could, but it
    accomplishes my instructions to you from (indiscernible)?
 7
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: I believe so.
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me -- with the
10
    understanding that I've not yet read the order -- so don't
11
    go, well, I think paragraph 12 ought to be modified to say
    the following because I don't know what paragraph 12 says at
12
    this point.
13
14
               Conceptually, anyone have an issue that they need
    to address?
15
               MR. ELROD: Your Honor, this is John Elrod on
16
   behalf of the DIP agent.
17
18
               THE COURT: Sure.
               MR. ELROD: If I could raise one issue that's
19
20
    late breaking.
21
               THE COURT: Okay.
               MR. ELROD: Your Honor, I believe that a number
22
23
   of interested parties heard Your Honor's statement at the
24
    12:15 hearing loud and clear. And, therefore, there was a
25
    -- there were a number of interested parties in a subset of
```

the Debtors' fuel supply agreements. These are those that relate to, what I understand, are generally referred to as the non-controlled sites and the fuel supply agreements associated with those.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

2.3

MR. ELROD: Your Honor, you may recall at the hearing on Monday morning, there was an individual in the courtroom by the name of Shaneel Lalani. He is the principal of an entity known as Lalani Petroleum. Lalani Petroleum has agreed, in principle, to assume and assign those contracts for material consideration to the estates. And I can read the terms. I believe Mr. Phillips, who represents Mr. Lalani, may be on the line.

THE COURT: Yeah. And Mr. Elrod, all due respect, too late. I gave you the time -- I gave you the time without any sort of constraints whatsoever. I told you what I was going to do today. I'm a man of my word. I'm going to do exactly what I said I was going to do.

I also question sort of some of the comments about that he was shut out of the process and that he never had ample opportunity to participate. Simply not true. It's too late. We're done.

MR. ELROD: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Anyone else?

Ms. Surinak? 1 2 MS. WILLIAMSON: Your Honor, Deborah Williamson. 3 THE COURT: Ms. Williamson, Ms. Surinak was 4 first. I'll be -- I'll circle back around. 5 MS. SURINAK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 6 you hear me? 7 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Thank you for checking. MS. SURINAK: Thank you. Ashley Surinak on 8 9 behalf of Blue Owl and its affiliated entities. 10 Your Honor, we circulated one comment that did not make it into the proposed form of order that we believe 11 certain other landlords are also supportive of, which is 12 just the fact that -- understanding that you haven't read 13 the order and that there's going to be certain inventory and 14 15 lender collateral on the sites upon rejection of the real 16 property leases. 17 Our proposal is to just build in a concept that 18 basically allows landlords to liquidate those estate proceeds and whether or not the proceeds then -- you know, 19 20 net of costs in terms of their reasonable necessary costs 21 incurred with liquidating that inventory in the interest of 22 efficiency and allowing the landlords to get back into the 2.3 sites and begin operating, as opposed to waiting for, you 24 know, someone to come in at some point to assess what's

actually left of the properties.

25

2.3

I think we just want to build in some mechanism that would allow the landlords to do so. And whether or not -- I know Mr. Kuebel had raised, you know, maybe the proceeds to go into a trust account that's controlled by the Chapter 11 Trustee, as opposed to directly to the DIP lender. We're not opposed to, you know, any construct. We just think there should be a mechanism built in so that landlords aren't, you know, regaining control of the properties upon rejection and termination of the leases.

But then they have, you know, certain foods, fuel in the ground, other inventory that they could be liquidating in the interest of efficiency and actually taking control back of the site versus, you know, a delayed process in terms of the lenders having someone come out to assess everything and go from there.

THE COURT: So let me ask this, Mr. Wolfshohl. It would seem to me that given the amount of, number one, the outstanding DIP as well as the prepetition, it would just seem to me that all of this property -- and I think I can do it pursuant to Rule 70. I'll just transfer all of that property to the DIP lender, and then that becomes a conversation that the Trustee's not involved in. That's a conversation in the example between Mr. Elrod and Ms. Surinak.

I see no reason for the Trustee to be involved in

```
that, unless you tell me that there's a lien issue or
1
 2
   perfection issue, which I can't imagine there is with
 3
   respect to the DIP, but I'm happy to hear it.
 4
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: No, Your Honor, we're not raising
 5
   any kind of issue like that. And the less involved we are
 6
   in that process, for us, the better. So --
7
               THE COURT: I mean, Ms. Surinak, wouldn't you
8
   rather just negotiate with Mr. Elrod and work that out
 9
   between the two of you than to have to have a three-party
   conversation?
10
11
               MS. SURINAK: Yes, Your Honor. And we've had
12
   conversations with Mr. Elrod, and we just think there should
13
   be some kind of concept built into the order --
14
               THE COURT: No. I --
15
               MS. SURINAK: -- that permits for it.
               THE COURT: -- I can do this in two sentences.
16
17
              MS. SURINAK: Of course.
18
              Mr. Elrod?
19
               MR. ELROD: Yes, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT:
                          Any issue from just letting you --
21
   again, I said this many times: I mean, we're to the point I
22
   want all the parties to be able to control their own
23
   destiny. Why shouldn't -- why shouldn't you -- why
24
    shouldn't you just take that, and then you can -- if you
25
   want stuff thrown away, you can say, nope, throw it away.
```

If you want to say, nope, you can have it all, you can do that by location.

If you want to enter into an agreement that you do some sort of -- I'm making this up -- some sort of proceeds-sharing arrangement with somebody who's selling it for you, you're free to do it. You don't have to come get my approval. You don't have to negotiate with a Trustee. You just make your own decisions.

MR. ELROD: Your Honor, I think the -- we have no issue with the concept. There may be some formalities that we'd like to make sure are observed. As a regulated financial institution, I'm not sure that we want to take title to these assets.

THE COURT: I don't think you do either.

MR. ELROD: So, you know, maybe that we could set up a special purpose vehicle to take title to them. But, you know, this is -- I understand Your Honor's thoughts on it. We don't necessarily need to involve the Chapter 7 Trustee or the Chapter 11 Trustee in that process and would just ask that we have a period of time to think through that process.

THE COURT: So let me ask -- and, Mr. Elrod, I want to be practical. The moment this order gets entered, and certainly no later than tomorrow, you're going to have an issue. And so I -- how practically do you think we can

deal with this?

MR. ELROD: Your Honor, what I would suggest is, if you could give us, yeah, I guess, yeah, 24 hours to get this just structured, I think that would give us time to work through the issue.

THE COURT: Ms. Surinak?

MS. SURINAK: We're amenable to giving them time.

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. McFaul, you've been hitting -- you've raised your hand 13 times. Sorry. I didn't mean to ignore you.

MR. MCFAUL: Well, I just -- before the Court ruled on something, I would like to be heard on this point. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MCFAUL: Duston McFaul for Pilot Flying J and Hunt Refining Company.

I was glad to see the form of the order did not include the revisions by Oak Street, and we have a serious issue with them because at -- with the Flying J dealer locations, Your Honor, Pilot Flying J keeps title to the diesel at the pumps and remits rent to MEX. So it's actually its property, and the revisions had it such that anything in any location is defined as a state property. I think it's dangerous to be defining a state property and allowing Oak Street to just liquidate it and also allow them

to decrease any turnover with damages, costs.

And, you know, the problem here is there's not a one size fits all.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MCFAUL: I mean, we're not all just pure suppliers. So we object in that Conversion Order to cutting out the Chapter 7 Trustee this early and not doing, you know, lines in the tanks, determining the inventory, not having some control over the liquidation. We certainly object to Oak Street liquidating our property, which was defined as estate property because it was on their location.

So Oak Street owns the property. MEX operated at least 22 of these sites where we owned the actual product.

So I'm -- you know, just would plead, Your Honor, that we don't push all this in without thought into a Conversion Order.

THE COURT: So, Mr. McFaul, tell me again what it is you don't want me to do because I'm not sure I fully understood.

MR. MCFAUL: Yes. I don't want you to sign an order that allows Oak Street to sell Flying J Pilot product or its -- or its point of sale equipment or a number of things that it continues to own by virtue of the relationship and the contracts here with MEX.

THE COURT: So I don't think I ever contemplated

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

letting anybody sell anything that didn't belong to the Chapter 7 estate. What I was really focused on was Mr. Elrod's client has a lien on certain things. And, you know, if he's able -- if he's able to pull a rabbit out of his hat with meaningful value out of all of this net of costs, then I think that he's a miracle worker, but I want to give him the ability to make his own decisions about that without the administrative overhead of the Chapter 7 Trustee since I'm not aware of a way that there could ever be any incremental value to the estate above Mr. Elrod's -- both his DIP liens, as well as his prepetition liens. That's all I was focused on. I wasn't suggesting --MR. MCCALL: Yes. THE COURT: -- that we were going to go conduct an auction of everything. It was just to -- if you had -if Mr. Elrod had collateral, I think that he ought to be able to deal directly with the disposition of that collateral and not have to work through the Trustee. was -- that was all I was -- that's all I was focused on. If you own something, then you own it. somebody else can't sell what you own if you own it outright --MR. MCFAUL: And it's --THE COURT: -- and undisputed. MR. MCFAUL: -- and it's unfair for Your Honor to

```
be having this conversation because you didn't see the
1
 2
    insert because it didn't make it into the --
 3
               THE COURT: Ah.
 4
               MR. MCCALL: -- final form, the proposed order.
 5
   But the way property of the estate was defined and the way
 6
   the rights of Oak Street were defined, it would have allowed
7
   exactly that. So that's the context I think you're missing.
8
               THE COURT: I get it. Okay. No. Thank you.
 9
               MR. MCCALL: And apologies doing this in a vacuum
10
   where you didn't see the language.
11
               THE COURT: No. All good. I'm learning as we
12
   go, and I appreciate your patience.
               Let me -- a number of folks have raised their
13
14
   hand; plus one gentleman came to the lectern. Let me do
15
   Ms. Williamson next. I promised her.
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, Your Honor.
16
17
               THE COURT: Ms. Williamson?
18
               MS. WILLIAMSON: Yes, Your Honor.
19
    Deborah Williamson with -- representing Valero Marketing and
20
    Supply Company.
21
               Your Honor, my statement's just fairly general.
22
   The proposed order that was submitted only makes reference
   to fuel supply agreements. The relationship between, I
2.3
24
    think, the fuel suppliers and Mountain Express is broader
25
    than that and often reflected in multiple agreements, many
```

```
of which are controlled.
```

2.3

As I said before, the PNPA, like the branding; the, you know, the signage. And so I -- we would just recommend that and just saying all agreements for the supply of fuel, just all agreements of fuel suppliers are terminated. That way we don't have to pick and figure out which one do we have to go back to. I think that was the intent.

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfshohl?

MR. WOLFSHOHL: I have no issue with that.

THE COURT: No. Understood.

MR. WOLFSHOHL: I have -- I have no issue with that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, Ms. Williamson, thank you for the input. Let me -- so I don't forget. So what you're saying is in paragraph 3?

MS. WILLIAMSON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But would --

MS. WILLIAMSON: Where it says, "All agreements for the" -- well, it says, "for the supply of fuel." I would say "all agreements of fuel suppliers, including but not limited to supply of fuel are rejected." And we have a footnote insert with the list of the fuel suppliers we've provided.

THE COURT: So all agreements with fuel. All

```
right. Got it. When I -- when I get around to the end of
1
 2
    this, I'll come back, and I'll put -- I'll put the order up
 3
   on the screen, and we'll work through that. Okay?
 4
               MS. WILLIAMSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 6
               MR. EISENBERG: Your Honor, in that same
7
   paragraph -- and I apologize. I know I'm stepping in front
   of somebody. Philip Eisenberg, on behalf of the Brothers.
 9
               We have a license agreement. It's also a
10
   branding agreement. So they're running the brand, the
11
    stations, and now that they're shutting down, we'd like that
12
    license agreement included in the litany of agreements
   that's rejected.
13
14
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: I don't have an issue with that,
15
   Your Honor, either. I -- not to use it as an excuse, but
16
    there was a fire drill at my office as we were trying to
   prepare this order, and I walked over to Ms. Northrup's
17
18
   office in order to try to prepare it and avoid the fire
19
   drill which was going to delay me about 30 minutes.
20
               And so we attempted to get everything in there,
21
   but the reality is we're trying to get -- we're trying to be
22
    comprehensive.
23
               THE COURT: I get it. We'll get there.
24
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Yeah.
25
               THE COURT: No. We get it.
```

```
1
               MR. EISENBERG:
                               Thank you.
 2
               THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Eisenberg.
 3
               Mr. Lim, or --
 4
               MR. PARKINS: May I speak, Your Honor?
 5
               THE COURT: Absolutely.
 6
                             Thank you. Lenard Parkins, Parkins
               MR. PERKINS:
7
    & Rubio, on behalf of Fortuna Investments.
8
               Your Honor, I just represent a landlord --
 9
               THE COURT: Okay.
10
               MR. PARKINS: -- and he has a store in Louisiana.
11
   He's a remote landlord. He doesn't live there. He doesn't
12
   run the property. A couple of issues I want to just raise:
13
   Number one, I don't want my client to be liable to the bank.
14
   If the family running the store is listening to these
15
   hearings and has already taken all the potato chips and
16
   everything out of the store and has moved it already, I
   don't want him to be liable for that.
17
18
               Number two, I would think that any order,
19
   especially like we're dealing with my client in Louisiana,
20
   any order that is entered by the Court would be very helpful
21
    when the Sheriff shows up and says, what's going on here,
22
   when you're taking -- changing the locks and stuff, that the
2.3
   order is specific enough so that you can show the security
24
   people or the local police you have a legitimate federal
25
    court order authorizing you to do this so you can take
```

possession and protect the property.

Those two points, I think, are very important.

My experience with Louisiana is that they may not listen to any kind of order from anywhere, but having one will be very helpful for the landlords in order to try to protect their interest without interference or with -- maybe with the help of the security or local police, if there's a federal court order that gives the landlord authority to do such actions, especially now. During the night, they may have to go in in order to protect their property.

Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: I get it. Thank you.

Mr. Lim, you'll eventually get here. Yes, sir.

MR. LIM: Thank you, Your Honor. Lloyd Lim on behalf of GSS Holdings and Fox Fuels.

I represent a group of about 40 convenience store operators, and we're concerned about the order to the extent that it transfers to the lender, to any other party the fuel that my clients have recently put in the ground by the Stay of Relief Order that it got last week --

THE COURT: It --

MR. LIM: -- or the inventory that it's purchased.

THE COURT: Got it. Well, I think where everybody came out today is, while I'm perfectly willing to

```
sign a Rule 70 order, I think everybody said, "Please don't
1
    do that to me today."
2
 3
               MR. LIM: Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Yes, sir. All right.
 4
 5
               Mr. Kuebel, I think you were next. All right.
 6
               MR. KUEBEL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Can you
7
   hear me?
8
               THE COURT: Loud and clear. Thank you for
 9
    checking.
10
               MR. KUEBEL: Great. All right. Thank you.
11
   Omer F. Kuebel, III, appearing today for both P66, Phillips
    66 Company and the BFM, Brothers Food Mart related entities.
12
13
               And I wanted to address the language that was
14
   raised by Blue Owl. And I do think that there are aspects
15
   of that language that we were supportive of. We do think
16
    that it would make sense for the landlords to be able to --
   and I referenced this earlier, Your Honor --
17
18
               THE COURT: You did.
19
               MR. KUEBEL: -- do an inventory and have a sale,
20
   but I was concerned about the prospect of turning that over
21
   -- turning those sale proceeds over strictly to the lender
22
   because there may be fuel suppliers, obviously, that have
23
   stepped forward to claim an interest. There may be PNSI
24
   vendors and thought that potentially a better way to handle
25
    this was that, if such a transaction occurred, that the
```

```
proceeds could be turned over to the Registry in the Court
1
 2
   or to the Trustee so -- pending further order, so that, on
   the one hand, the landlords aren't stuck in limbo; but on
 3
 4
    the other hand, to the extent that there were proceeds
 5
    liquidated, we made sure that all the various stakeholders
 6
   had an opportunity to stake their claim to those proceeds
   and didn't just send it straight to the bank. No offense to
7
   Mr. Elrod's client.
9
               THE COURT: Yeah. So I think what I was
10
   suggesting is that it allowed everybody to do this on a one-
11
   off basis, given their level of comfort and their particular
    circumstances. All I'm -- all I'm -- if the estate has no
12
13
   interest, all I'm effectively doing is putting you back to
   applicable estate law, which I think is where it ought to
14
15
   be.
               Mr. Pomerantz, are you sure you want to weigh in
16
   on this?
17
18
               MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I'm happy to go last,
19
    I just would like --
```

THE COURT: Yeah.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. POMERANTZ: -- 30 seconds of your time, based upon a provision of the order, but I don't want to interrupt the flow of people, trading partners, addressing motions.

THE COURT: No. Go ahead.

MR. POMERANTZ: So, Your Honor, I've been

monitoring the proceedings in the last week and a half, and when I received the order -- actually, when I printed off the Docket, I didn't ask Mr. Wolfshohl for a copy; so he didn't send it to me. So I don't -- I don't fault him for that.

I noticed there's a provision in the order that supports the obligations of the Debtor and their professionals upon the convergence. And it not only does not track what Rule 1094 provides, but it goes further away, which I think has the effect of modifying Your Honor's DIP order.

Dealing with the second issue first, Your Honor, as Your Honor may recall, under the DIP order, there was a trust account established with my firm, weekly amounts of professional fees through the budget (glitch in the audio). Those monies were to be held in trust and once the applications were approved, they would be paid, of course, subject to Your Honor's final fee applications.

Just this morning I received -- and we have been operating under that procedure for the four and a half months of the case. Money has been coming in and coming out. We have been asked, through Mr. Healy, to provide the Trustee, over the last couple of days, with a full accounting of the monies that went in and the monies that went out; and we have provided that information to Mr. Healy

and assumed that he forwarded it on to the Trustee.

2.3

This morning we received an email from Mr. Wolfshohl's colleague asking for us to turn over to the Trustee all money in our -- in our trust account. And while we were in the process of preparing a response -- which we don't believe it's estate property. We believe it's trust account money, pursuant to the order -- lo and behold, in paragraph 7 of the order, the Trustee has added its language that requires us to turn over that money.

I'm not asking, Your Honor, for a ruling right now whether that is true or not. That would require Your Honor to go back and look in the -- into the DIP order. I'm happy to have a further conversation with Mr. Wolfshohl and try to convince him that, in the drinking from the firehose, he perhaps did not read paragraph 17 of the DIP order. But all I want to do is to make sure that there's nothing in this conversion order that prejudges that issue one way or the other, as well as the paragraph that comes after paragraph 8, which talks about a carve-out for Trustee professionals, which, of course, we have a problem with. But we don't want anything in this order to in any way impact the rights of the Debtors' professionals or expand what the obligations of the Debtors' professionals are under 101.94 of the rules, which we, of course, will comply with.

```
MR. WOLFSHOHL: Your Honor, I'm happy to have
 1
 2
    Your Honor look at it and modify it as you see -- deem
 3
    appropriate. Normally, when a case gets converted like
 4
    this --
 5
               THE COURT: Yeah.
 6
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: I -- I've never been in a
 7
    situation where a Trustee didn't get retainers that were
   held, but I -- I'm not trying to upset whatever the DIP
 9
    order requires.
10
               THE COURT: Not retainers. I'll put in some
11
    language --
12
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Okay.
13
               THE COURT: -- that preserves the status quo --
14
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Okay.
               THE COURT: -- for that issue.
15
16
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: That's fine.
17
               THE COURT: I got that.
18
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: I have no issue with that, Your
   Honor.
19
20
               THE COURT: I wouldn't have expected you to --
21
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Yeah.
22
               THE COURT: -- have known that. And if it turns
   out that something needs to happen, then it's another
23
24
   motion, another order.
25
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: A separate hearing. Correct.
```

```
Right. I agree.
1
 2
               MR. POMERANTZ: The other aspect, Your Honor,
 3
    they use language -- again, when people use different
 4
    language from the statute, it is a breeding ground for
 5
   problems. Rule 101.94 requires delivery of property and
 6
   possession or control to the Trustee. They have deleted the
7
   word possession, and they've included the word dominion and
8
   custody.
 9
               I don't know what dominion or custody means
10
   separate from control or possession, but, Your Honor, I'm
11
   not sure why we even need paragraph 7 in there at all.
   have our obligations. We are seasoned professionals.
12
   will, of course, comply with the obligations. But if there
13
    is going to be language, I would ask that it track exactly
14
    the language of Rule 101.94.
15
16
          (Pause in the proceedings.)
17
               THE COURT: All right. I will put this up for
18
   everybody before -- but I got that issue. Sorry for the
19
   delay.
20
               All right. Anyone else before I go back and
```

start editing?

MR. LIM: Your Honor, if I may?

THE COURT: Sure.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LIM: Your Honor, again, Lloyd Lim on behalf of GSS Holdings and Fox Fuels.

I've just looked at the order for the first time on my cell phone, but I'm concerned that any provision in there would be read to allow the landlords to occupy, you know, occupy locations where they're being operated and the subtenant operators have not been evicted under applicable state law.

So we would ask that, if the Court intend this, to just put everybody back to where their state rights -- law rights were, that that's what the orders say. But we would object to it allowing the landlords to dispossess the operators at this point.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.

Mr. Eisenberg, go ahead.

MR. EISENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. That's the point that there's no agreement with them whatsoever. The leases are terminated, and we want to take possession of our property.

THE COURT: Right. But I think all Mr. Lim was saying is that you've got to follow a legal process to do that.

MR. EISENBERG: Yes. And we're here in this court to do that. The -- they can put on whatever the rights they think that they have here, but we -- we've got a hearing at 4:30, and we -- somebody's going to try to hold over after Your Honor terminates these leases?

```
THE COURT: I get it.
1
 2
               MR. EISENBERG: Okay.
 3
               THE COURT: I'm just giving you the opportunity
 4
    to --
 5
               MR. EISENBERG: Thank you.
 6
               THE COURT: -- make your record.
7
               MR. EISENBERG:
                              Yes.
               THE COURT: All right.
8
 9
               Mr. Melko?
10
               MR. MELKO: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. John
11
   Melko on behalf of SASS Petroleum.
               We had made a couple of minor comments to the
12
   order which have been largely accommodated. So we
13
14
   appreciate Ms. Williamson and Mr. Wolfshohl's work there, as
   well as the whole Trustee team and the lenders as well.
15
16
               We do have one small remaining issue, and this is
   consistent with Your Honor saying, "I'm letting people go
17
18
   their own way."
19
               Judge, you'll recall that SSAS Petroleum is a
20
   50 percent owner of U.S. Fueling, which is an LLC that's
   half owned by Debtor BNC, and it's half owned by my -- by
21
22
   SASS Petroleum.
               By contract, Debtor MEX, or Mountain Express, is
23
24
   the operator, and the -- so -- and with the fuel supply --
25
   and it also -- MEX is also the fuel supplier to that LLC,
```

which, in effect, acts, then, as a subjobber to 85 separate stations. We need somebody to step in as operator or as manager of that LLC. My client is ready, willing, and able to do it.

Your Honor, I don't know that Ms. Northrup or Mr. Elrod is particularly interested in being the operator of a -- of a gasoline jobber. So if we can either have an agreement or a provision in the order that would permit SASS, pending further resolution of the ownership, which I think may be presented to Your Honor shortly, not today, we'd appreciate it. Because somebody has to operate that and get fuel to the -- to the stations.

THE COURT: So what you're suggesting is that you simply want to substitute your client for the Debtor as the operator of the entity. Did I get that about right?

MR. MELKO: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MELKO: Yes. And there's two Debtors involved in it. So the operator is actually, or the manager, to be precise, is actually Mountain Express. The ownership is in BNC. We're not -- we're not looking to affect the ownership, only the operatorship because otherwise it's just going to lay dormant in those 85 dealers who are not in privity with the Debtor. Their privity with U.S. Fuels will be stranded.

THE COURT: Mr. Wolfshohl?

2.3

MR. WOLFSHOHL: Thank you, Your Honor. We had negotiated a deal to sell the interest to accommodate this issue. I don't have a problem with the concept. I mean, we're not going to be able to operate, and there does need to be somebody that operates that.

THE COURT: So let me propose this because I do not want to make this order any more complicated than it needs to be: It would seem to me, Mr. Melko, at least what I heard, is that the Trustee is amenable to letting your client simply substitute as the operator with all other rights and benefits, burdens of the agreement, that I haven't seen, remaining intact. It seems to me that a really short stipulation and agreed order that would be separate could be done potentially this afternoon.

Mr. Elrod, do you have an interest in that if it only substitutes the operatorship with no -- with nothing else affected?

MR. ELROD: Your Honor, I do not have those documents in front of me. I'm aware, obviously, of the offer from Mr. Melko and not to bring up the Court's prior ruling, but the issue would potentially have been solved had the Court considered that that proposed sale. But nonetheless, as I sit here right now, I'm not aware of any interest that we would have in that, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: All right. So what I want -- I want
 1
 2
   you to run that stipulation by Mr. Elrod just so he sees it.
 3
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Yes, sir.
 4
               THE COURT: But I -- I'm -- what I'm
 5
    contemplating is just a couple of sentences that references
 6
   the agreement, and if there's a definitional substitution,
 7
    just do that. Sign it. Get it to me. I'll sign it, turn
 8
   it around, and that way --
 9
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: It's seamless.
10
               THE COURT: Yeah.
11
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Yeah, I agree.
12
               THE COURT: Okay.
13
              MR. WOLFSHOHL: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Melko, can you --
15
              MR. MELKO: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: -- can you have your team accomplish
17
   that?
18
              MR. MELKO: We will. Thank you, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
20
               Ms. Stoian? It -- hold on. I have too many
21
    screens -- oh --
22
               MS. STOIAN: Can you hear me, Your Honor?
23
               THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. I was
24
   worried that I couldn't.
25
               MS. STOIAN: Yeah. I had to unmute myself.
```

Rachel Stoian, Dorsey & Whitney, appearing on behalf of Nine Shell Gas Stations OK, LLC.

I wanted to reiterate a concern that was raised by Counsel who is in the courtroom. I -- I'm sorry that I do not know his name, but as Counsel to an operator, the ordered termination of all real property leases is concerning. Under the Code, as you're well aware, 365(h) provides rights to non-debtor lessees of rejected leases.

Here everything's being rejected and could be read to mean all operators are going to have to leave their premises, which I think, based on my sitting through numerous of these status conferences, really runs against what Your Honor was hoping the ultimate outcome may be here — that folks would be able to continue operating these stations.

So I wanted to raise the concern that an order, as presently constituted, really undercut some of the rights for a lot of operators, including my client, and I believe a number of others have similar concerns. So I wanted to raise that. I don't know if -- whether it's something that could be resolved by way of stipulation similar to that that was just described for Mr. Melko's client with my client substituting in as operator even though they are the operator.

I'm -- I'll be honest and say I'm still trying to

```
figure out a good way to approach this, recognizing that
1
 2
   we're converting to 7 and carrying these leases doesn't make
 3
   a lot of sense. So I wanted to put that on the Record that
   my clients do presently object to the form of the order to
 4
 5
    the extent it terminates their rights to occupy those
 6
   premises.
               That --
7
               THE COURT: Got it.
8
               MS. STOIAN: -- as a thought.
 9
               THE COURT: Thank you. No. I got it.
10
   Thank you.
11
               Let's see. That was Ms. Stoian.
               Mr. Turner? Mr. Turner, I can't hear you. Had
12
13
   you hit five star on your phone, or do you perhaps have me
14
   muted from your side? There you go.
15
               MR. TURNER: Okay. Didn't even know I had to do
   it on the phone as well. Paul Turner, Womble Bond, for
16
   Equilon Enterprises.
17
18
               I just want to clarify, Your Honor, that my
19
   understanding of what you were saying is that, with respect
20
    to certain elements of the order with respect to the fuel
21
   distributors, would be supported through that with a draft
22
   up on the screen and people making suggestions; is that
2.3
    correct? Or did I misinterpret?
24
               THE COURT: No. When I've heard from everybody,
```

I'm going to take a break, and I'm going to make certain

25

```
changes to the order, which I will then publish on the
 1
 2
    screen, and you will have an opportunity to comment on.
 3
    guarantees that I take any of the comments --
 4
               MR. TURNER: Of course. Of course. Go ahead.
 5
               THE COURT: -- but yes.
 6
               MR. TURNER: Very good. Thank you.
 7
               THE COURT: Yes.
 8
               MR. TURNER: I just wanted to make sure I had a
 9
    sense.
           Thanks.
10
               THE COURT: Oh, yes, sir. All right.
               Anyone else?
11
12
          (No audible response.)
13
               THE COURT: All right. Then what I would like to
14
    do -- it is 4:53 Central. I would like for everyone to come
   back at 5:05, and I just -- I need -- I need theoretical
15
16
    quiet time to go through the order and process the comments
    that I've heard. I'll then come back. I'll put it up on
17
18
    the screen. I'll give everybody an opportunity to say, no,
    that -- no, that doesn't work. It doesn't solve my
19
20
    objection.
               And I'm not going to solve everybody's objection.
21
22
   Not possible. But I will -- I will do my best to address
2.3
    the issues. All right?
24
               If you want to step out in the hall, please come
25
    and go as you see fit. But we're going to be adjourned
```

```
again -- why don't we say this -- until 5:10 Central Time?
 1
    So that's roughly 16 minutes.
 2
 3
               All right. Thank you, everybody.
               SEVERAL VOICES: Thank you, Your Honor.
 4
 5
          (Recess taken from 4:53 p.m. to 5:12 p.m.)
 6
                            AFTER RECESS
 7
               MALE SPEAKER: In paragraph 9, there's still a
   bracket around the dollar amount, Your Honor, I believe.
 9
               THE COURT: Is that agreed to?
10
               MALE SPEAKER: It's -- I believe the DIP lenders
11
    -- Ms. Heyen sent me an email beforehand, and I believe that
12
    that was agreed to.
13
               THE COURT: Okay. I just didn't know.
               MALE SPEAKER: Yeah. I know I submitted it that
14
15
   way.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. Other than that, I'm not
17
    asking whether you agree with everything. You're certainly
18
    free to argue against it.
19
               MALE SPEAKER: Yeah. I think other than that, I
20
    don't -- I don't -- I mean, I -- I think it accomplishes
21
    what I was expecting, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's see. Let me
   put this -- let me put this up.
23
24
                Mr. Eisenberg, I know you're old school. Would
25
   it help you if I printed you out a copy, or can you read on
```

```
the screen?
 1
 2
               MR. EISENBERG: If you printed me a copy, Your
 3
   Honor, that would be marvelous.
 4
               THE COURT: Sorry. I'll make this bigger for
    everybody, and I can -- I'll print out a couple. Just give
 5
   me a minute.
 6
 7
          (Pause in the proceedings.)
               THE COURT: You folks ready for me to roll
 8
    forward?
 9
10
          (No audible response.)
               THE COURT: Mr. Lim, if you wanted a hard copy,
11
12
   we have some extras.
13
               MR. LIM: Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Sure.
14
15
               All right. Let me -- let me start.
16
               Ms. Williamson, is it possible for you to turn
17
    your camera back on?
18
          (No audible response.)
19
               THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Williamson, first
20
    of all, did I -- did I encompass what you -- what you were
21
    trying to tell me?
22
          (No audible response.)
23
               THE COURT: Ah, Ms. Williamson, hold on. Hold
24
    on. I moved all my screens around to be able to do this.
25
    Give me just a second.
```

How about now? 1 2 (No audible response.) 3 THE COURT: Ms. Williamson, I still can't hear 4 Did you hang up, perhaps, or something? Did you hit 5 five star again maybe? Or do you have me muted from your 6 side? 7 There you are. Somehow you got disconnected. All right. 8 9 MS. WILLIAMSON: Your Honor, again, Deborah 10 Williamson, representing Valero Marketing and Supply 11 Company. I think it would be helpful, because this order 12 13 is going to be around for a while, if we can make fuel 14 supplier capital F -- capital F and then drop a footnote, 15 included and not limited to. And I have the list of names. While we were 16 waiting here, I've been confirming the proper names to 17 18 include in there. And that way there's no question which --19 a specific fuel supplier was included within this order. 20 And it says in paragraph 3 -- and I can email the list to 21 the Court. 22 The other question that we had, looking at it, 23 and I forget which paragraph number it is -- "The Court 24 provides that we're not required to give notice to the

Debtor or the Trustee." I think it should be to anybody --

25

```
THE COURT: I --
 1
 2
              MS. WILLIAMSON: -- because we don't want to --
 3
               THE COURT: -- I'm unwilling to do that.
 4
               MS. WILLIAMSON: Okay.
               THE COURT: I don't -- I don't know who anybody
 5
 6
   else is, and I'm not going to affect anybody's rights except
 7
   those folks that I know I have jurisdiction over.
              MS. WILLIAMSON: Appreciate that, Your Honor.
 8
 9
   Then we will -- we will live with what we have.
10
               THE COURT: And, Ms. Williams, you'll send me
11
   that list or send it to Mr. Alonzo, or --
12
              MS. WILLIAMSON: I will send it right now, Your
13
   Honor.
14
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
               All right. No particular order. Anyone else
15
   have a comment? Complaint? Question?
16
17
              Mr. --
18
              MS. CROCKER: Your Honor?
19
               THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
20
              MS. CROCKER: I apologize. Michaela Crocker with
   Katten on behalf of Sunoco.
21
22
              Apparently, I read a little slower than everyone
23
   else. If I could see paragraph 6 again, please?
24
               THE COURT: Of course.
25
              MS. CROCKER: Thank you.
```

```
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
1
 2
               Mr. McFaul, while she's reading, did you have
 3
    something you wanted to raise?
 4
               MR. MCFAUL: No. I just wanted to thank the
 5
          Paragraph 5 looks perfect, and this is going to
 6
   sound like a -- an absurd request, but there's been some
    ongoing confusion with product orders, diesel orders from
7
   MEX, and if Mr. Wolfshohl or somebody on Ms. Northrup's team
8
 9
    can just confirm, for the Record, a client request that
10
    there will be no further orders of petroleum by the Debtors,
11
    it would be helpful for practical purposes --
12
               THE COURT: I'm guessing --
13
               MR. MCFAUL: -- as odd as it sounds.
14
               THE COURT: -- I'm guessing that's easy.
                              That's the easiest thing I've
15
               MR. WOLFSHOHL:
16
    answered all day. Absolutely not. And we've locked down
17
    accounts and everything and taken precautions to make sure
18
    that things don't happen outside of our control.
19
               MR. MCFAUL: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. McFaul,
21
               Mr. Abood?
                          Let's see. Mr. Abood, have you
22
   hidden -- had you hit five star, or do you have me muted
2.3
    from your side, perhaps?
24
          (No audible response.)
25
               THE COURT: I haven't seen you yet. I'll come
```

back around. 1 2 Anyone else have -- Mr. Turner? Yes, sir? 3 MR. TURNER: Can you hear me okay, Your Honor? 4 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you. 5 MR. TURNER: Very good. Again, Paul Turner for 6 Equilon Service. 7 And I guess the one question/request that I would have is whether we could make a little bit more explicit 8 9 that, with respect to the terminated contracts for the fuel 10 suppliers, that the intellectual property, i.e., the trademarks, trade address and service parts -- their rights 11 12 under those terminated contracts don't exist anymore. Just 13 to clarify that because those sorts of IP rights are very 14 important, too. THE COURT: Mr. Wolfshohl? 15 MR. TURNER: If they could just -- to make it a 16 bit more explicit, that the rights and -- the rights to any 17 18 use of the trademarks, trade address, service marks, and color schemes granted by the agreements that are terminated 19 20 are also terminated. 21 MR. WOLFSHOHL: I didn't hear that last part. 22 THE COURT: If you just look at the screen, 2.3 MR. TURNER: Are also terminated. 24 MR. WOLFSHOHL: Got it. 25 MR. TURNER: All right. That's already better.

```
And that's the personal comment I had.
1
2
              MR. WOLFSHOHL: All right. I don't have an issue
 3
   with it. Obviously, I mean, if the DIP lender somehow
 4
   thinks that that has value, and it's the DIP lender's
 5
   collateral, perhaps, I -- but the Trustee doesn't have any
 6
   issue with that, Your Honor.
7
              THE COURT: So I mean, I don't -- I don't know
8
   that. I don't not know that. So I get it. Okay.
9
              MR. TURNER: Very good. Thank you, sir.
10
              THE COURT: Thank you. Now, subject to --
   Mr. Turner, don't go away, because I'm going to hear from
11
   Mr. Elrod --
12
13
              MR. TURNER: Right.
14
              THE COURT: -- once I get comments, and we may
   revisit this issue.
15
              MR. TURNER: No worries. Thank you, sir.
16
17
              THE COURT: Thank you. All right.
18
              Mr. Abood, I think I now have -- I now think I
19
   have you live. Could you say something, please?
20
              MR. ABOOD: Your Honor, this is Norman Abood.
21
              THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you.
22
              MR. ABOOD: Thank you for your patience.
23
              The language in the -- I think it's the sixth
24
   paragraph, or maybe it's up above. No. I'm sorry. The
25
   second paragraph regarding the nonresidential leases. The
```

```
language or the language that's proposed that indicates
1
    termination -- and Ms. Stoian raised his earlier, and I've
 2
   been researching, and I found Austin Developer v. Citgo
 3
 4
    Petroleum. Our concern is that the rejection not affect the
 5
    termination or an implied forfeiture of the rights of the
 6
    sublessees.
7
               THE COURT: So you need to -- you need to read --
8
   you need to read that paragraph very carefully. I addressed
    that issue --
 9
               MR. ABOOD: Okay.
10
               THE COURT: -- as best I think I can. I'll come
11
12
   back once you've had time to think about it.
               Ms. Stoian?
13
14
               MR. ABOOD: Thank you.
15
               MS. STOIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
   Rachel Stoian of Dorsey & Whitney, appearing on behalf of
16
   Nine Shells Gas Stations OK, LLC.
17
18
               I have reviewed Your Honor's revisions to
19
   paragraph 2, and I do appreciate the time that you took to
20
   make that change distinguishing between where Debtor is
    lessee versus Debtor as lessor.
21
22
               My concern remains, however, that my client, as a
23
   lessee, where the Debtor is -- it's two steps down the line.
24
   And if the prime lease is terminated, what rights remain
25
   with my client as the subtenant even if it's just rejected?
```

```
I guess that would be my concern that, if that prime lease
1
    is terminated, does it, in effect, extinguish the rights
 2
 3
    that would have been preserved --
 4
               THE COURT: So --
 5
              MS. STOIAN: -- had the sublease just --
 6
               THE COURT: Ms. Stoian --
7
              MS. STOIAN: -- be rejected versus terminated?
8
               THE COURT: -- so I totally -- I totally
 9
   understand the issue. I'm -- I think that I have limits
10
    under 365. I think that I've done my best to leave intact
11
   whatever rights may have existed. You know, being a
12
   subtenant, as you know, is not the best place in the world
13
   to be in a bankruptcy case. I also think the issue that
14
   you're raising is one that I find really interesting.
15
   also think it requires an adversary proceeding.
               MS. STOIAN: Understood, Your Honor.
16
17
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
18
              Ms. Crocker?
19
               MS. CROCKER: Yes, Your Honor. And my apologies
20
   if this is already at the bottom of the order, but I don't
21
    recall seeing a waiver of the 14-day stay.
22
               THE COURT: Nope. Hadn't gotten there yet.
23
              MS. CROCKER: Apologies.
24
               THE COURT: No, no, no. I -- it's -- I should
25
   have said something. I -- there's an issue that I think
```

```
that Mr. Elrod's going to raise, and I want to hear it
1
 2
   before I decide what to do. All right.
 3
               Mr. Newman?
 4
          (No audible response.)
 5
               THE COURT: Ah, Mr. Newman, had you hit five star
 6
   on your phone?
7
               There you are.
8
               MR. NEWMAN: Now?
 9
               THE COURT: Yes, sir. I can hear you now. Thank
10
   you.
               MR. NEWMAN: I tried -- I tried, Your Honor, and
11
12
   I guess I didn't do it right. You can hear me now, though?
13
               THE COURT: Uh-huh. Loud and clear. Thank you.
14
               MR. NEWMAN: Thank you. I just wanted to ask the
15
   Court whether it's your understanding, for the Record, or if
16
   it should be clarified in the order with respect to the
   additional carve-outs contained in -- I -- it's a little
17
18
   small on my screen, but I believe it's paragraph 7, is it?
               THE COURT: I think --
19
20
               MR. NEWMAN: But those are in addition?
21
               THE COURT: Are you talking about the -- are you
22
   talking about the million five?
23
               MR. NEWMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: So as I understand it -- and we can
25
   get clarification -- and I can make this bigger -- is that
```

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is an agreement that has been negotiated between the Trustee 1 and the DIP lender, and I really -- I kept their language. The only thing I did was to take out the brackets because Mr. Wolfshohl told me that he thought that there was an 5 agreement as to the amount.

MR. NEWMAN: And my only comment, Your Honor, is that there are other carve-outs provided for in the DIP order, and I just want it to be clear that this is in addition to any carve-outs provided for the DIP order.

THE COURT: That is my understanding.

MR. WOLFSHOHL: It's not meant to disrupt the DIP order at all, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WOLFSHOHL: I do have a change to that just because there were some -- the way it's drafted, and obviously I'll want the lenders' Counsel to weigh in on it, but I don't think they're going to have an issue with it. It's at the end of it, Your Honor. It's romanette iii. It reads "Currently necessary expenses related to records retention." And I would like it to say instead of related miscellaneous administrative expenses and then of the bankruptcy estate rather than the Chapter 7 estate with a comma after estate to say, including but not limited to trust fund taxes incurred since the Trustee's appointment.

I think that that was understood that that was

```
within the spirit of what we were talking about with the
 1
    lenders. So I'd like them to weigh in, but that's something
 2
 3
    that's obviously very important to Ms. Northrup, especially
    because a lot of those taxes haven't come due yet.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Did that accomplish what you -- and,
 6
    again, I'm going to go to Mr. Elrod --
 7
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Of course.
               THE COURT: -- but I didn't want to bounce back
 8
 9
   and forth with him.
10
               MALE SPEAKER: Yeah. And, Your Honor, just to be
    clear, the -- this is not intended to disrupt the DIP order
11
12
    or other orders. I think there's an order regarding Raymond
    James's retention that reflects rights under the carve-out.
13
14
               THE COURT: So I will absolutely -- this is --
15
    this doesn't change any prior order.
16
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: There's a -- there's a not
   between but and limited, Your Honor, that needs to go in
17
18
    there.
19
               THE COURT: I'm sorry? What?
20
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: There needs to be a not between
21
   but and limited including but not limited to.
22
               THE COURT: Got it. That would change the
23
   meaning, wouldn't it?
24
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Yes. It's very significant.
25
              MALE SPEAKER: I might have gotten fired over
```

```
that one, Your Honor.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Fair enough. All right. Fair
 3
   enough.
 4
               MALE SPEAKER: Very significant.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Fair enough.
 6
               And, Mr. Newman, does that give you the comfort
 7
   you were looking for?
 8
               MR. NEWMAN: Yeah. I think Your Honor said you
 9
   don't intend to change any existing orders that are
    (indiscernible).
10
11
               THE COURT: Absolutely not.
               MR. NEWMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
13
               THE COURT: All right. Anyone else
14
   before I go to Mr. Elrod?
15
               Oh, Mr. Eisenberg, absolutely. Come on up.
               MR. EISENBERG: I'm assuming Mr. Elrod is going
16
   to take a while. I might want to get in there first. So I
17
18
   know you put in -- if you could pull back up paragraph 2,
   Your Honor?
19
20
               THE COURT: Of course.
21
               MR. EISENBERG: And you've got -- you've got
22
    licenses and -- but can we -- can we have -- can we include
2.3
   all licenses for branding at the locations or branding or
    for the use and sale of food products at the locations that
24
25
    the terminated leases are?
```

```
THE COURT: So I don't -- I want to make sure I
 1
 2
    understand what you're saying.
 3
               MR. EISENBERG: I want it to read right. So --
 4
               THE COURT: Sure.
 5
               MR. EISENBERG: -- I apologize.
 6
               THE COURT: So all licenses or branding --
 7
               MR. EISENBERG: For --
               THE COURT: -- for the use or sale of food
 8
 9
   products at --
10
               MR. EISENBERG: No, no. It's just the brand
    itself, Your Honor. All licenses, all branding. It's not
11
    just for the sale of food products. The brand -- the name
12
13
    on the service station itself belongs to us.
14
               THE COURT: So you got to give me some context.
15
               MR. EISENBERG: Yes, sir. We have a license
    agreement. It brands the Brothers' name.
16
17
               THE COURT: This says for -- okay. So --
18
              MR. EISENBERG: And --
19
               THE COURT: -- they get to put the Brothers' name
20
    on the top of the --
21
               MR. EISENBERG: Right.
22
               THE COURT: -- service station.
23
               MR. EISENBERG: And the colors and use the fried
24
    chicken recipes and the other things. And that's what we
25
   need to make sure that it's not just limited to the use of
```

```
sale of food products.
1
          (Pause in the proceedings.)
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Is that what you're looking for?
               MR. EISENBERG: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Okay.
 6
               MR. EISENBERG: And then just to clarify and I
7
   guess, albeit for me to ask about a Bankruptcy Code Section,
   but the leases are being rejected and terminated under
    Section 365 of the Code?
 9
10
               THE COURT: So, again, with respect to -- I don't
    think that 365 actually deals with lease termination.
11
12
               MR. EISENBERG: Yes, sir.
13
               THE COURT: It deals with rejection.
14
               MR. EISENBERG: Yes, sir.
15
               THE COURT: But the -- what I have heard is that
16
   what everybody -- because of the current situation, what
   everybody has agreed to, to the extent where the Debtor is a
17
18
    lessee, that the leases are being rejected under 365 and
    terminated.
19
20
               MR. EISENBERG: Yes.
               THE COURT: And, again, with the issue raised by
21
22
   Ms. Stoian, I think she's right, to the extent that her
23
   clients have any rights, and I'm not determining that they
24
   do or they don't. I think that 365 says what it says.
25
               MR. EISENBERG: Yeah.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
THE COURT: I don't -- I'm not going to begin to
profess that I understand real property law in 50 different
         I'm trying to leave -- you know, the rejection
operates as a rejection, and I'm not trying to figure out
what happens. I fully expect that this is not the last time
I'll deal with this dispute, but I do think it would be a
separate targeted motion on a particular set of facts.
           MR. EISENBERG: Right. I just wanted to clarify
they're deemed rejected under Section 365 --
           THE COURT: Yeah.
           MR. EISENBERG: -- the provisions of 365.
you.
           THE COURT: Yes, sir. All right.
           MR. MAYER: Your Honor, it was mentioned earlier
-- Simon Mayer on behalf of the BFM entities.
           THE COURT: Uh-huh.
           MR. MAYER: It was mentioned earlier, but I
didn't see it in here, the ability of landlords to secure
the properties once the leases have been rejected, and I
think --
           THE COURT: So I'm going to be careful about that
one. What I am willing to do -- because I think, otherwise,
we need to follow applicable law. What I am absolutely
willing to do is that the owners of any abandoned location
may absolutely enter to secure the premises. I don't have
```

```
any issue at all with that. To the extent that there's
 1
 2
    someone in a state, whose law that I am not aware of, has a
 3
   possessory right, I'm not going to inadvertently terminate
 4
    that. And, also, it's hard to make an argument, absent some
    further evidence, that you're at risk if there's somebody
 6
    actually in the location.
 7
               MR. MAYER: So can we get language on the
   abandonment?
 8
 9
               THE COURT: Yeah. No. That's why -- you see me.
10
    I just did paragraph 3. I just haven't written it yet.
11
               MR. MAYER: Okay.
12
               THE COURT: All right.
13
          (Pause in the proceedings.)
               THE COURT: What do you call these things? What
14
15
    term are they?
16
               MR. MAYER: I think the term they've used -- I've
    seen used, Your Honor, is C-Store, but I don't -- that might
17
18
   not apply to larger fueling stations.
19
               THE COURT: But you're the only one that's
20
    complaining. So if I use C-Store --
21
               MR. MAYER: C-Store, I think, is fine.
22
               THE COURT: C-Store or --
23
               MR. MAYER: C-Store.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. Is the C capitalized?
25
               MR. MAYER: C is capitalized. I believe store is
```

```
-- the S is capitalized as well.
1
          (Pause in the proceedings.)
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Does that work for you?
 4
               MR. MAYER: Except as --
 5
               FEMALE SPEAKER: Typo (inaudible).
 6
                          -- protect and maintain property.
               MR. MAYER:
7
               THE COURT: Okay. And if there's an issue --
8
               MR. MAYER: Thanks, Your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: And, again, I fully recognize there
10
   are going to be issues, but if there are issues with
11
    specific facts, I want the parties to have due process. I
12
   want -- I want a targeted set of facts. I want to be back
13
   in here so I can deal with it specifically.
14
               MR. MAYER: Yes, Your Honor.
15
               THE COURT: But to the extent that we've got
16
   emergency situations out there, like the one that was
   attached to your 807 Notice --
17
18
               MR. MAYER: Right.
19
               THE COURT: -- which, again, I really appreciate,
20
    that's something -- you need to be out there tomorrow.
21
               MR. MAYER: Yes, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: And that's what I want you to have
23
   the ability to do. All right.
24
               Mr. Parkins?
25
               MR. PARKINS: I raised the objection earlier.
```

```
Can I have my client's lease included in the properties
 1
 2
    abandoned, too?
 3
               THE COURT: Say that again.
 4
               MR. PARKINS: The Fortuna lease with the Debtor
    just so I can call it the Fortuna lease in Louisiana.
 5
 6
    That'll be good enough to describe it if you can do that.
 7
               THE COURT: And what is it that you want?
               MR. PARKINS: I want -- if that property is
 8
 9
    abandoned tonight, I want my landlord to be able to go in
10
    and secure it just like you provided.
11
               THE COURT: So is your --
12
               MR. PARKINS: Yeah. Abandoned C-Store. Okay.
13
               THE COURT: Well, C-Store --
14
               MR. PARKINS: C-Store is just a generic name?
               THE COURT: -- I'm told, is a -- is a term of
15
16
    art.
17
               MR. PARKINS: Consumers. Okay. I'm good, then.
18
    I will sit down at being an idiot. Thank you.
19
               THE COURT: Okay. No, no, no. I -- again, I'm
20
    dealing in an area that I don't profess to know even close
21
    to everything.
22
               MR. PARKINS: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
23
               THE COURT: All right. Anyone else before I go
    to Mr. Elrod?
24
25
              Mr. Platt?
```

```
MR. PLATT: Your Honor, two things very quickly:
1
 2
   Back -- if we could go to -- back on paragraph 2, the last
 3
    -- the licensing and branding sentence.
 4
               THE COURT: Yeah.
 5
               MR. PLATT: If there is -- if there is any way
 6
   that that could be a separate paragraph, that would be ideal
7
   because they're -- some of those licenses are -- the fuel
   suppliers have licenses that would come under that
8
 9
   description as well. So to the extent that there would
10
   be --
11
               THE COURT: So --
12
               MR. PLATT: Yeah. We would like to suggest that
13
    that only relates to the real property leases --
14
               THE COURT: So --
               MR. PLATT: -- and landlord issues.
15
16
               THE COURT: I never read it that way. It says
   what it says --
17
18
               MR. PLATT:
                          Right.
19
               THE COURT: -- and if you feel good, if I put in
20
   an extra return, then you got an extra return.
21
               MR. PLATT: Okay. I feel good, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT:
                          All right.
23
               MR. PLATT: And then, lastly, I guess now -- what
24
    is now paragraph 7 -- if we could go back to that? I know
25
    that you and Ms. Williamson had a discussion about the
```

```
notice issue.
1
 2
               THE COURT: Oh, that's it.
 3
                          Oh, I'm sorry. I guess it's
               MR. PLATT:
 4
   paragraph -- it's paragraph 8 now.
 5
               THE COURT:
                          Yeah.
 6
               MR. PLATT: The notice -- that you didn't want it
7
   to say that -- no notice to anyone. Could the language say
   no notice is required to any of the Debtors or the Trustee
 9
   or any operator?
10
               THE COURT: No. Because I don't know what rights
    they have. The Trustee and the Debtors ultimately answer to
11
12
   me, and so I'm perfectly comfortable doing that, but I'm not
13
   going to prejudge anyone else's rights that haven't -- many
14
   of those folks probably don't even know about this hearing,
15
    and so I'm not -- I'm not willing to do that.
               MR. PLATT: Understood.
16
17
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
18
               MR. PLATT: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Abood, did you raise
20
    your hand again?
               MR. ABOOD: I did, Your Honor.
21
22
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
23
               MR. ABOOD: Just so I -- I'm sorry to bother you
24
   about this paragraph 2, but my clients are really concerned
25
    that -- what some of the landlords are understanding. And
```

```
I've reread your language, and I appreciate your correction
1
 2
   of my reading of it earlier. You're talking about with
 3
   regard to the subtenants, those leases are rejected.
 4
               But if you would be so kind as to consider
 5
   anything that says that that does not imply a forfeiture or
 6
   termination of a subtenant's rights, that's one request.
7
               The other is just a clarification, and it may not
8
   be necessary, but I'm going to ask it because I'm getting
 9
   asked by all kinds of people right now --
10
               THE COURT:
                          Sure.
11
               MR. ABOOD: -- is what this process would be. I
12
    -- because you mentioned an adversary proceeding.
13
    there's a dispute over the leases, are you anticipating --
14
    the relationship between the property owner and the
15
    sublessee -- are you anticipating that to be addressed by an
16
    adversary proceeding, or would it be through a state court
   proceeding?
17
18
               THE COURT: I think the -- the question that you
   asked -- that resolution would occur through a state court
19
20
   proceeding.
21
               MR. ABOOD: Thank you.
22
                          Yes, sir. And with respect to the
               THE COURT:
23
    first request, I'm going to decline to do that.
24
               MR. ABOOD: Thank you.
25
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
```

```
1
               All right. Mr. Elrod, I think we're now to you.
               MR. ELROD: Thank you, Your Honor. Can you hear
 2
 3
   me?
 4
                          Yes, sir, loud and clear. Thank you.
               THE COURT:
 5
               MR. ELROD: Okay. Your Honor, I believe we don't
 6
   have any issues with the language in the order. I did want
 7
    to -- I haven't had a good chance to take a look at the --
    since I'm not in the courtroom, I didn't have a copy, but if
 9
    I understand the import of the language, you're not
10
    requiring affirmatively that the DIP agent exercise its
11
    state law rights and remedies; is that correct?
12
               THE COURT: I left that open to you. I assumed
13
    that -- you asked for time, and by just practical effect,
14
    I'm giving you that time. I absolutely believe that
15
    someone, in the midst of all of this, will file something,
    and we'll just deal with it as we deal with it. But no, I'm
16
17
    giving you the time that you asked for.
18
               MR. ELROD: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Your
19
   Honor.
20
               I've been in communication with my client on next
21
    steps, but we just wanted to make sure we had time to set up
22
    the appropriate mechanism for that.
23
               THE COURT: Okay. All right.
24
               Last opportunity, everyone.
25
               Ms. Surinak, you've been awfully quiet, which
```

```
always troubles me just a little. You okay?
 1
 2
               MS. SURINAK: Yes, Your Honor. We reviewed the
 3
    order, and we're just fine with the revisions.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 5
               Then let me do this.
 6
          (Pause in the proceedings.)
 7
               THE COURT: Do we have -- do we have Trustees
    still here?
 8
 9
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible), Your Honor.
10
               MS. CROCKER: Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
               MS. CROCKER: Michaela Crocker on behalf of
12
13
    Sunoco. Just going back to the issue on the waiver of the
14
    14-day stay, I'm just concerned.
15
          (Pause in the proceedings.)
               MS. CROCKER: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17
               THE COURT: No. Thank you for bringing that back
18
   up because I just totally forgot. Long day.
19
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: Your Honor, there's just a little
20
    typo on effective there.
21
               THE COURT: I'm sure there's more than one.
22
               MR. WOLFSHOHL: You know what? You could be my
23
    typist anytime. You're doing great.
24
               THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Can you -- I
25
   know that it's -- and I want people to have a --
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. WOLFSHOHL: Your Honor, I'm standing by.
soon as I see it hit the Docket, I can do the Notice of
Appointment myself.
           THE COURT: Terrific. Thank you.
           Then with that, let's save it. And I thank -- I
thank everyone for their patience, for helping me work
through this.
           Again, I fully anticipate this will not solve 100
percent of the problems. That doesn't mean you're not free
to file a motion tomorrow if you believe that there's
something that needs to be addressed, but I've got to start
the process. And I think we've all done the best we could.
The order has been signed. It's on its way to docketing.
          Mr. Gibbs, did you have something?
           And thank you to the U.S. Trustee.
           Mr. Gibbs?
           MR. GIBBS: Good evening, Your Honor. Chuck
Gibbs with McDermott, Will & Emery, Counsel for the Official
Unsecured Creditors Committee.
           It's my understanding that, with the entry of
this order, I no longer have a client. So I would just ask
the Court's indulgence to allow me to file appropriate
papers to be relieved of our ongoing duties.
           THE COURT: Absolutely. I will -- if it -- if it
is helpful to you, give you the same courtesy that I
```

```
extended to all of the Debtors' professionals.
 1
               MR. GIBBS: Uh-huh.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: You know, you're not -- you know,
 4
    you're going to take a loss on the case. I get it. Don't
 5
    spend time filing a fancy motion. Upload a proposed
    order --
 6
 7
               MR. GIBBS:
                          Thank you, Judge.
               THE COURT: -- and I'll take care of it.
 8
 9
               MR. GIBBS: All right.
10
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11
               Folks, anything else that we need to talk about
    today?
12
          (No audible response.)
13
               THE COURT: All right. And, again, thank you for
14
15
    everything. You are all excused.
16
               MR. RAY: Your Honor?
17
               THE COURT: Yes.
18
               MR. RAY: Sorry, Your Honor. Hugh Ray, III on
   behalf of Chevron Products Company.
19
20
               The order you uploaded referenced an Exhibit 1
    that has been sent to Mr. Alonzo, consisting of the names of
21
22
    the fuel suppliers.
23
               THE COURT: Right.
24
               MR. RAY: I don't know if that was attached to
25
    the order or not.
```

```
THE COURT: No, it's not. We -- it hadn't gone
 1
 2
    -- it hasn't yet been docketed. We're going to attach it on
 3
   before it gets docketed.
 4
               MR. RAY: Thank you, Your Honor. That's all I
 5
   had.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 7
               MR. RAY: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: Thank you, everyone.
 9
         (Hearing adjourned at 5:47 a.m.)
10
11
               I certify that the foregoing is a correct
12
    transcript to the best of my ability from the electronic
13
    sound recording of the ZOOM/video/telephonic proceedings in
    the above-entitled matter.
14
15
    /S/ MARY D. HENRY
16
    CERTIFIED BY THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF
17
    ELECTRONIC REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS, CET**337
18
    JUDICIAL TRANSCRIBERS OF TEXAS, LLC
    JTT TRANSCRIPT #67574
19
20
    DATE FILED: August 11, 2023
21
22
23
24
25
```